LEYDIG VOIT&MAYER

LAW OFFICES

LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. 6815 WEAVER ROAD, SUITE 300

ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS 61114-8018

NO. 0011 **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

TELECOPY: (815) 963176640 2004

TELEPHONE: (815) 963-7661

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE: JUNE 30, 2004

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET): 3

TIME:

OUR REFERENCE: 501641

FROM: ANDREW J. HEINISCH REGISTRATION NO. 43666 **DIRECT LINE: (815) 963-7661**

To:

EXAMINER JOSIAH C. COCKS

GROUP 3749

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

TELEPHONE NUMBER: 703-305-0450 FACSIMILE NUMBER: 703-872-9306

IN RE APPLN. OF:

BILL MITCHELL ET AL.

APPLICATION NO.:

10/017,153

FILED:

DECEMBER 14, 2001

For:

FUEL OIL SUPPLY CIRCUIT FOR AN OIL BURNER HAVING A

SOLENOID VALVE IN PARALLEL CIRCUIT WITH DIAPHRAGM VALVE FOR CONTROLLING OIL FLOW AT START UP

GROUP ART UNIT:

3749

EXAMINER:

JOSIAH C. COCKS

PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS FACSIMILE

A confirmation copy of the transmitted document will:

Not be sent. This will be the only form of delivery of the transmitted document.

The information contained in this facsimile transmission is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and those properly entitled to access to the information and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended or an authorized recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized distribution, dissemination, or duplication of this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone or facsimile. Thank you.

JUN. 30. 2004 11:33AM

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/0 Examiner: Josiah C.	ant: <u>Bill Mitchell</u> Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			RECEIVED NTRAL FAX CENTE		
Tentative Participants: (1) Andrew J. Heinisch			(2) Josiah C. Cocks, Examiner			JUN 3 0 2004
(3)			(4)			
Proposed Date of In	Proposed Time: Any					
Type of Interview R (1) ⊠ Telephonic	(3) Video Conference					
Exhibit To Be Show If yes, provide brief	rn or Demonsti description:	rated: YE	S NO			·
·		Issues	To Be Dis	scussed		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Issues	Claims/ Fig.#s	Prior Art		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(Rej., Obj., etc.)	_				, 🗖	
(1) <u>Please see atts</u>	cued sueer					
(2)		<u> </u>		П		, 🗖
(3)				П		
(4)					• 	
☐ Continuation S						
Brief Description of Please see attach	ed sneet					
An interview was	conducted on	the above-ide	entified appli	cation on		
						erview (see MPEP §
						ecord of this 7 CFR 1.133(b)) as
(Applicant/Applic	4			(Examiner/SPE		while which is to Sig /and In
This collection of Inform the USPTO to process) Box 1450, Alexandria.	au application con-	HOTHWAY OF A D	•	equired to obtain or reta . 122 and 37 CFR 1.14 all 1-800-PTO-9199 an		public which is to file (and by mmissioner for Patents, P.O.

Interview Request Form (7/1/2003)

ATTACHMENT TO APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Application No.: 10/017,153 First Named Applicant: Bill Mitchell

Status of Application: Pending Examiner: Josiah C. Cocks Art Unit: 3749

Applicant respectfully requests an interview in the above-identified patent application to see whether an appeal in this case can be averted. Applicant has concurrently (but separately) filed herewith a Notice of Appeal. Applicant would like to discuss the motivation, teaching or suggestion for combining the references. Applicant also wishes to discuss the apparent problem that several claim limitations are still missing even with the asserted combination. Applicant wishes to go through each claim and dependent claims and have the Examiner identify specific limitations in the references to clarify matters for appeal. See MPEP§2143 (the references when combined must teach or suggest all the claim limitations). For example:

- Where is the disclosure in Nakamura et al. or Harwath et al. as claimed in claim 5 that the solenoid valve while in the first state bypasses oil through the return to the fuel supply?
- Where is the disclosure in the references of a downstream passage including a first branch to the regulating valve assembly and a second branch to the diaphragm valve?
- Where is the specific operation and sequencing of claim 8 as claimed disclosed in either of the references? Nakamura et al. does not appear to show stopping the flow at burner start-up, but instead the solenoid valve mechanism is provided for only stopping the supply when the electric current to the oil burner is stopped (this is the opposite of the claimed invention in which start-up of the burner would require electrical current and despite the fact that there is electric current, there is no oil flow to the burner by virtue of the solenoid valve according to the claimed invention).
- Where in the references is there a thermistor as claimed in claim 9? 4.
- Where is there a means for switching the solenoid between states after a predetermined time as claimed in claim 15?
- Where does a solenoid valve control fuel flow through a bypass point in the oil regulation as claimed in claim 17?
- Where are the limitations of claims 18-20 disclosed or taught? 7.
- Where in the asserted combination is the limitation of claim 11 provided that the electronic control and the oil regular prevent pressurized fuel flow to the nozzle upon start-up of the burner and allow pressurized fuel flow to the nozzle after start-up of the burner? The Examiner cited Nakamura et al. reference which discloses a solenoid valve mechanism which allows the supply of a fuel oil to the oil supply pipe to be stopped which the supply of electric current to the oil burner is stopped. See Col. 4, lns. 46-54.
- Where do the references teach a first state of a solenoid valve which keeps the regulating valve (a second valve) closed as claimed in claim 1?